December 15, 2003

Mr. James L. Hall Assistant General Counsel Texas Department of Criminal Justice P.O. Box 4004 Huntsville, Texas 77342-4004

OR2003-9028

Dear Mr. Hall:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 192725.

The Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the "department") received a request for the department's complete Emergency Action Center database. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, and 552.134 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

We begin by addressing your claim pursuant to section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from disclosure "[a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution . . . if: (1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in [a law enforcement agency], avoid detection,

¹ We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine [law enforcement] efforts to effectuate the laws of this State." City of Ft. Worth v. Cornyn, 86 S.W.3d 320 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no writ).

This office has stated that under the statutory predecessor to section 552.108(b), a governmental body may withhold information that would reveal law enforcement techniques or procedures. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 456 (1987) (release of forms containing information regarding location of off-duty police officers in advance would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 413 (1984) (release of sketch showing security measures to be used at next execution would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 409 (1984) (if information regarding certain burglaries exhibit a pattern that reveals investigative techniques, information is excepted under section 552.108), 341 (1982) (release of certain information from Department of Public Safety would unduly interfere with law enforcement because release would hamper departmental efforts to detect forgeries of drivers' licenses), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted).

To claim this exception, a governmental body must meet its burden of explaining how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990). Generally known policies and techniques may not be withheld under section 552.108. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 at 2-3 (1989) (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force are not protected under section 552.108), 252 at 3 (1980) (governmental body did not meet burden because it did not indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known). Whether disclosure of particular records will interfere with law enforcement or prosecution must be decided on a case-by-case basis. See Attorney General Opinion MW-381 (1981). In this case, we find you have not demonstrated that the information you seek to withhold under section 552.108(b)(1) contains detailed security information, the release of which would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention by the department. Accordingly, we find that the department may not withhold any of the information at issue pursuant to section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

Next, section 552.134 of the Government Code relates to information about inmates of the department and provides in pertinent part:

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (b) or by Section 552.029, information obtained or maintained by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if it is information about

an inmate who is confined in a facility operated by or under a contract with the department.

Section 552.029 of the Government Code states:

Notwithstanding Section 508.313 or 552.134, the following information about an inmate who is confined in a facility operated by or under a contract with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice is subject to required disclosure under Section 552.021:

(8) basic information regarding the death of an inmate in custody, an incident involving the use of force, or an alleged crime involving the inmate.

Section 552.134 is explicitly made subject to section 552.029. Under section 552.029(8), basic information regarding the death of an inmate in custody, an alleged crime involving an inmate, and an incident involving the use of force is subject to required disclosure.

You state, and the submitted exemplar documents reflect, that portions of the database information at issue in the present request consist of information about inmates confined in facilities operated by or under contracts with the department. Accordingly, we find that portions of the submitted information, which we have marked, are generally excepted from disclosure under section 552.134 of the Government Code. We note, however, that these portions of the submitted information also contain some information regarding the death of an inmate in custody, alleged crimes involving inmates, and incidents involving the use of force. As you acknowledge, basic information regarding these types of incidents is subject to disclosure under section 552.029 of the Government Code. Basic information includes the time and place of the incident, names of inmates and department officials directly involved, a brief narrative of the incident, a brief description of any injuries sustained, and information regarding criminal charges or disciplinary actions filed as a result of the incident. We determine that, with the exception of basic information that is subject to section 552.029, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.134 of the Government Code.²

We note, however, that a portion of the basic information that is subject to section 552.029 is protected by common-law privacy. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts

²Based on this finding, we do not reach your claims under sections 552.108(a)(1) and (a)(2) for this information. We note that basic information about an alleged crime is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. See Gov't Code § 552.108(c); Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976).

"information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in Industrial Foundation included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683. This office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under constitutional or common-law privacy: some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, see Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990), information concerning the intimate relations between individuals and their family members, see Open Records Decision No. 470 (1987), and identities of victims of sexual abuse, see Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). We have marked information pertaining to inmates of the department that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

We next consider your claim under section 552.117 of the Government Code with respect to the remainder of the submitted database information that is not subject to section 552.134. Section 552.117(a)(3) excepts the home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information of employees of the department. We have marked the portions of the remaining submitted information that the department must withhold under section 552.117(a)(3) of the Government Code. Furthermore, we have marked a small amount of information pertaining to an employee of the department that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

In summary, with the exception of basic information that must be released pursuant to section 552.029 of the Government Code, the department must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.134 of the Government Code. Portions of the basic information, which we have marked, are confidential under common-law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have marked the portions of the remaining submitted information pertaining to department employees that the department must withhold under section 552.117(a)(3) of the Government Code, and under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The remainder of the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code

§ 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

David R. Saldivar

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 192725

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. James Kimberly

Houston Chronicle

P.O. Box 4260

Houston, Texas 77210

(w/o enclosures)